Underhold if i

*** UNCLASSIFIED ***

SELECTED ACQUISITION REPORT (RCS:DD-COMP(Q&A) 823) PROGRAM: NAS

AS OF DATE: September 30, 1994

INDEX

#112 Date	
SUBJECT	PAG
Cover Sheet Information	1
Mission and Description	2
Program Highlights	2
Threshold Breaches	3
Schedule	3
Performance Characteristics	5
Total Program Cost and Quantity	8
Unit Cost Summary	9
Cost Variance Analysis	10
Program Acquisition Unit Cost History	12
Contract Information	12
Program Funding Summary	12
Production Rate Data	14
Operating and Support Costs	19



1. Designation and Nomenclature (Preferred Name):

National Airspace System (NAS)

2. DoD Component: USAF

Joint Participants:

Army, Navy

OLEARED"
FOR CHEEN PUBLICATION

OCT 2 8 1994 20

DIRECTO MILIT HARRES MOST FORMANI.
AND THE HARRES MOST FORMANI.

. 3. Responsible Office and Telephone Number:

ESC/TGN 11 Eglin Street Hanscom AFB Bedford, MA 01731-2120 Lt Col David MacKenzie Assigned: April 15, 1994

DTI'.

AV 478-4947 COMM (617) 377-4947

4. Program Elements/Procurement Line Items:

RDT&E:

PE 0305137F, 0204696N, 0604633A

94-35550

5. Related Programs:

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Capital Investment Plan (CIP) program.

94 11 17 003

CATSD (PA) DFOISR 94 -C- 1/4
SAF/PAS

94-628 -1

*** UNCLASSIFIED ***

6. Mission and Description:

The DoD National Airspace System (NAS) program will modernize the DoD radar approach control facilities in parallel with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). DoD will acquire, to the maximum extent practical, systems on contract or systems to be on contract with the FAA to reduce development costs and prevent duplication. If the DoD does not modernize the DoD Air Traffic Control (ATC) system, the resulting reduced interoperability between current DoD and FAA facilities will negatively impact DoD flight operations. The DoD NAS program provides systems and facilities compatible/interoperable with the FAk modernization, prevents DoD flight delays and cancellations, continues DoD's access into Special Use Airspace, provides transparent services to military and civil aircraft, replaces aging DoD ATC systems, and increases flight safety. DoD will upgrade voice; data, and sensor systems as well as facility configurations and operations concepts to provide continued quantity and quality of ATC services to the aviation community. The MAS program also includes the Military Airspace Management System (MAMS) which will schedule and manage special use airspace. MAMS is an automated Special Use Airspace (SUA) scheduling and utilization reporting tool which will enable DoD to more efficiently manage SUA. DoD military ATC and fighting/flying readiness will be maintained.

7. Program Highlights:

a. Significant Historical Developments ·-

In Apr 93, one of three exit criteria, the demonstration of the Military Airspace Management System (MAMS) prototype software, was completed. The demonstration was accomplished at Edwards AFB, CA, where the prototype will be used operationally.

In Jun 93, the second of three exit criteria, demonstration of a repackaged Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Common Console into the DoD configuration, was completed.

The MAMS Request for Proposal (RFP) was released on 2 Jun 93, and proposals were received in July. The MAMS END contract was awarded to Computer Based Systems, Inc. on 27 Jun 94.

The Semi-annual MAS program review was conducted on 2 Sep 93 by senior PAA and DoD personnel. Executive interagency agreements for test, procurement and support of PAA Automation Systems were signed completing the final exit criteria.

In support of DoD's radar approach control modernization, excellent progress was made towards creating joint PAA/DoD programs for automation, radar and voice switching. The PAA is wodifying their Advanced Automation System (AAS) contract option structure with IBM

As multily codes

Spicial

Avad

Dist

7a. Program Highlights (Cont'd):

to accommodate the specific procurement needs of DoD. In addition, PAR and DoD personnel defined the approach for joint test of the Terminal Advanced Automation System (TARS), a major segment of ARS. The FAR also coordinated plans to include DoD option quantities on the Digital Airport Surveillance Radar (DASR) and Enhanced Terminal Voice Switch (ETVS) programs. We continue to anticipate significant savings in reduced development and acquisition cost, and reduced logistics support costs, by modernizing DoD facilities with equipment procured jointly with the FAR.

b. Significant Developments Since Last Report --

The Milestone II Review experienced a six month or greater schedule delay requiring a quarterly SAR submittal. This and subsequent milestone changes are reflected in the APAE approved APB of 27 May 1994. Limited reporting of only the Development program is permitted for Pre-Milestone II programs in accordance with Title 10, Section 2432, United States Code.

The MAS progress is expected to satisfy all mission requirements.

c. Changes Since As Of Date -- None.

8. Threshold Breaches:

There are no breaches to the AFAE approved APB dated 27 May 1994. Munn-McCurdy unit cost reporting is not required for Pre-Milestone II programs, IAW Title 10, USC, Section 2433.

9. Schadule:

a. Milestones	Planning <u>Estimate</u>	Approved Program	Current Estimate
DOD ATCALS IN THE NAS			
Milestone 0	NOV 90	NOV 90	NOV 90
Milestone I	JOL 92	JUL 92	JUL 92
Milestone II	JAN 94	JAN 95	MAY 95 (Ch-1)
AUTOMATION (DAAS)			
Development Contract Award	MAR 94	N/A	N/A (Ch-2)
DTER			
Start	JAN 95	JAN 95	JAN 96 (Ch-1)
Complete	MAR 96	MAR 97	MAR 97 (Ch-1)
IOTER			

*** UNCLASSIFIED ***

NAS, September 30, 1994

9s. Schedule (Cont'd):

Milestones (Cont'd)	Planning <u>Estimate</u>	Approved Program	Current Estimate
Start	MAR 96	MAR 97	MAR 97 (Ch-1)
Complete	SEP 96	OCT 97	OCT 97 (Ch-1)
VOICE (VCSS)			
COLFE			
Start	n/A	OCT 96	OCT 96 (Ch-3)
Complete	N/A	APR 97	APR 97 (Ch-3)
RADAR (DASR)			
COTAE			
Start	N/A	PKB 98	PEB 98 (Ch-3)
Complete	N/A	ADG 98	AUG 98 (Ch-3)
Milestone III	MAR 97	MAR 98	MAR 98 (Ch-1)
Production Award/Exercise (VCSS/DAAS)	n/a	APR 98	APR 98 (Ch-3)
Program Review (DASR FPA)	M/A	260V 98	MOV 98 (Ch-3)
Production Award/Exercise	APR 97	NOV 98	NOV 98 (Ch-1)
First Delivery	DEC 98	APR 99	APR 99 (Ch-1)
IOC (First DOD Site Activation)	OCT 99	APR 00	APR 00 (Ch-1)
FOC	APR 05	APR 06	APR 06 (Ch-1)
MAMS			,
Development Contract Award	ரு 93	JUN 94	JUN 94 (Ch-4)
DTLE			
Start	OCT 95	SEP 96	SEP 96 (Ch-4)
Complete	MAR 96	PEB 97	FEB 97 (Ch-4)
IOTEE			
Start	APR 96	APR 97	APR 97 (Ch-4)
Complete	JUL 96	JUL 97	JUL 97 (Ch-4)
IOC (First Delivery)	JUL 96	JUL 97	JUL 97 (Ch-4)

ATCALS - Air Traffic Control and Landing Systems

IOC - Initial Operational Capability
FOC - Full Operational Capability

MAMS - Military Airspace Management System

b. Previous Change Explanations --

MAMS development contract award moved from July 1993 to May 1994 because the source selection took longer than expected. The subsequent milestones were adjusted to reflect this change.

c. Current Change Explanations --

(Ch-1) The Current Estimate of the Milestone II Review has slipped from April 1994 to May 1995. The revised schedule reflects DoD's impact due to the FAA terminating their automation program in their

9c. Schedule (Cont'd):

effort to reduce cost and schedule overruns. The FAA has since initiated an NDI program for a new terminal automation system to which the DoD NAS baseline is synchronized. The schedule adjustment also resulted in slips to subsequent milestones.

- (Ch-2) Development Contract Award is no longer applicable because there will be no development contracts awarded by either DoD or FAA for NAS equipment. The AFAE approved this milestone for deletion in the 27 May 94 revised APB.
- (Ch-3) New milestones added with approval of AFAE APB dated 27 May 1994.
- (Ch-4) The MAMS EMD contract was awarded to Computer Based Systems, Inc. on 8 June 1994 instead of May 1994. A larger number of proposals than anticipated resulted in a longer source selection.

d. References --

Planning Patimate:

AFAR Approved Acquisition Program Baseline dated 1 March 1993.

Approved Program:

AFAE Approved Acquisition Program Baseline dated 27 May 1994.

10. Performance Characteristics:

a. Performance	PE	Approved Program Objective/Threshold		Demon- strated <u>Parf</u>	Current <u>Estimate</u>	
DOD ATCALS IN THE MAS						
Automation Data/ Voice Recording	Synch- ronized Playback	N/A	/ N/A	TBD	N/A	(Ch-1)
Inter/Intrafacility						
Data Transfer a. Auto Transfer	n/a	IAW ICD	/ IAW ICD	TBD	IAW ICD	(Ch-1)
of Position Track Data						
b. ElectronicInterfacilityTransfer ofFlight Plans	n/a	IAW ICD	/ IAW ICD	TBD	IAW I(,)	(Ch-1)

10a. Performance Characteristics (Cont'd):

	PE	Appr Pro Objectiv	gram	ceshold	Demon- strated <u>Perf</u>	Current Estimate	•
Automation Radar Presentation	Mosaic & Selec-	N/A	/ N/		TED	N/A	(Ch-1)
No. of the second of the secon	tive Radar Display						
Aircraft Tracked Medium (LCF)	N/A	900	/ 25	50	TBD	900	(Ch-1)
Automation Compatibility Data Processing	FAA AAS & Digital Radars	N/A	/ N/	/A	TBD	N/A	(Ch-1)
Radar Compatibility	Digital & Analog Auto- mation Systems	n/a	/ N/	/ A	TBD	N/A	(Ch-1)
Radar Subclutter Visibility (dB)	N/A	55	/ 42	2	TBD	55	(Ch-1)
Voice Compatibility/ Interoperability	PAA Systems	Digital Voice Systems	fa ex FJ	uter- ace to kisting AA ystems	TED	Digital Voice Systems	(Ch-1)
Voice Switch Lighting Environments	Radar Rooms & Control Towers	n/a	/ N /	/A	TBD	N/A	(Ch-1)
Voice System Interface Capability	Digital & Analog	u/a	/ N	/A	TED	N/A	(Ch-1)
Voice Recording	All Voice Inter- faces	n/A	/ N,	/A	TBD	N/A	(Ch-1)

MAMS

10a. Performance Characteristics (Cont'd):

	<u>pe</u>	Approve Progra Objective/I	ım	Demon- strated <u>Perf</u>	Current Estimate
Conflict Identification	Auto- mated	100% of / con- flicts identi- fied; 85% of con- flicts identi- fied <or= (sec)<="" 10="" td=""><td>98% of con- flicts identi- fied; 85% of con- flicts identi- fied <or= 30<br="">(sec)</or=></td><td>TBD</td><td>100% of (Ch-1) con- flicts identi- fied; 85% of con- flicts identi- fied <or= (sec)<="" 10="" td=""></or=></td></or=>	98% of con- flicts identi- fied; 85% of con- flicts identi- fied <or= 30<br="">(sec)</or=>	TBD	100% of (Ch-1) con- flicts identi- fied; 85% of con- flicts identi- fied <or= (sec)<="" 10="" td=""></or=>
Interface with FAA	Elec- tronic Data Transfer	Trans-/mittal Time for 85% of messages between schedul- er and FAA <or= (min)<="" 5="" td=""><td>Trans- mittal Time for 85% of messages between Schedul- er and FAA <or= (min)<="" 10="" td=""><td>TBD</td><td>Trans- (Ch-1) mittal Time for 85% of messages between schedul- er and PAA <or= (min)<="" 5="" td=""></or=></td></or=></td></or=>	Trans- mittal Time for 85% of messages between Schedul- er and FAA <or= (min)<="" 10="" td=""><td>TBD</td><td>Trans- (Ch-1) mittal Time for 85% of messages between schedul- er and PAA <or= (min)<="" 5="" td=""></or=></td></or=>	TBD	Trans- (Ch-1) mittal Time for 85% of messages between schedul- er and PAA <or= (min)<="" 5="" td=""></or=>
Reporting	Auto- mated	Process-/ ing Time of Util- ization Data Requests <or= (min);="" (min)<="" 1="" <or="10" and="" automat-="" genera-="" ic="" manual="" report="" td="" tion="" total=""><td>Processing Time of Utilization Data Requests <or= (min);="" (min)<="" 10="" <or="30" and="" automatic="" generation="" manual="" report="" td="" total=""><td>TBD</td><td>Process-(Ch-1) ing Time of Util- ization Data Requests <or= (min);="" (min)<="" 1="" <or="10" and="" automat-="" genera-="" ic="" manual="" report="" td="" tion="" total=""></or=></td></or=></td></or=>	Processing Time of Utilization Data Requests <or= (min);="" (min)<="" 10="" <or="30" and="" automatic="" generation="" manual="" report="" td="" total=""><td>TBD</td><td>Process-(Ch-1) ing Time of Util- ization Data Requests <or= (min);="" (min)<="" 1="" <or="10" and="" automat-="" genera-="" ic="" manual="" report="" td="" tion="" total=""></or=></td></or=>	TBD	Process-(Ch-1) ing Time of Util- ization Data Requests <or= (min);="" (min)<="" 1="" <or="10" and="" automat-="" genera-="" ic="" manual="" report="" td="" tion="" total=""></or=>

10a. Performance Characteristics (Cont'd):

ICD - Interface Control Document

- b. Previous Change Explanations -- None.
- c. Current Change Explanations --

(Ch-1) Current estimate of stub items changed to reflect approval of revised NAS and MAMS ORDs on 3 March 1994 and 11 March 1994 respectively. New ORDs portray a requested reduction in key parameters and quantify remaining parameters as required.

d. References --

Planning Estimate:

AFAE Approved Acquisition Program Baseline dated 1 March 1993.

Approved Program:

AFAE Approved Acquisition Program Baseline dated 27 May 1994.

11. Total Program Cost and Quantity (Current Estimate in Millions of Dollars):

a. Cost Development (RDT&K) Procurement Peculiar Support Initial Spares	Planning Batimate 102.1 0.0 (0.0) (0.0)	Approved Program 102.1 N/A	Current <u>Rstimate</u> 101.9 0.0 (0.0) (0.0)
Construction (MILCON) Ops. and Maint. (O&M) Total PY 90 Base-Year \$	0.0 	N/A N/A 102.1	0.0
Escalation Development (RDT&E) Procurement Construction (MILCON) Ops. and Maint. (O&E) Total Then-Year \$	20.5	20.5	18.0
	(20.5)	(20.5)	(18.0)
	(0.0)	(N/A)	(0.0)
	(0.0)	(N/A)	(0.0)
	(0.0)	(N/A)	(0.0)
	122.6	122.6	119.9
b. Quantity Development (RDT&E) Procurement Total	0	0	0
	<u>0</u>	N/A	N/A
	0	0	0

c. Foreign Military Sales/International Cooperative Programs -- None.

*** UNCLASSIFIED ***

NAS, September 30, 1994

11d. Total Program Cost and Quantity (Cont'd):

- d. Nuclear Costs -- None.
- e. References --

Planning Estimate:

AFAE Approved Acquisition Program Baseline dated 01 March 1993.

Approved Program:

AFAE Approved Acquisition Program Baseline dated 27 May 1994.

12. Program Acquisition/Current Procurement Unit Cost Summary:

Note: Not required for Pre-Milestone II programs in accordance with Section 2433, Title 10, USC.

13. Cost Variance Analysis:

a. Summary (Current (Then-Year) Dollars in Millions)

. Summary (current	(l		l
	RDT&E	PROC	MILCON	TOTAL
Planning			_	
Estimate	122.6	0.0	0.0	122.6
Previous Changes:				,
Economic	-3.7	-	-	-3.7
Quantity	-	- 1	-	-
Schedule	-	- 1	-	-
Engineering	-	-	-	-
Estimating	+1.3	-	-	+1.3
Other	-	-	-	-
Support	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	-2.4	-	-	-2.4
Current Changes:	+ 1	+		+ <i>-</i>
Economic	<u> </u>	_	_	
Quantity	i -	-	<u>-</u>	-
Schedule	i -	-		_
Engineering	i -	-	-	-
Estimating	-0.3	j - i	<u>-</u>	-0.3
Other	.	-	-	-
Support	-	- 1	-	-
Subtotal	-0.3	†	- -	-0.3
Total Changes	+	<u> </u>	+ -	-2.7
Current Estimate	+	+		
Current Patimare	119.9	-	-	119.9
	-	•	•	•

13a. Cost Variance Analysis (Cont'd):

a. Summary (FY 1990 Constant (Base-Year) Dollars in Millions)

j	RDT&E	PROC	MILCON	TOTAL
Planning Estimate	102.1	0.0	0.0	102.1
Previous Changes:				
Quantity	-	-	-	•
Schedule	-	-	-	•
Engineering	- 1	- 1	-	-
Estimating	-	•	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Support	-	- [-	.
Subtotal	•	- [-	-
Current Changes:	 			
Quantity	- 1	- j	-	-
Schedule	- i	- j	- j	-
Engineering	- i	-	<u>-</u> į	-
Estimating	-0.2	- j	-	-0.2
Other	-	- j	-	-
Support	- 1	- 1	- [-
Subtotal	-0.2	- !	-	-0.2
Total Changes	-0.2	-	-	-0.2
Current Estimate	101.9	+		101.9

b. Previous Change Explanations --

RDT&E

Rconomic: Revised escalation rates.

Estimating: Adjustment for current and prior year inflation.

Refinement of estimate.

13c. Cost Variance Analysis (Cont'd):

c. Current Change Explanations

(Dollars in Millions)
Base-Year
Then-Year

-0.3

-0.2

(1) RDT&E
Adjustment for Small Business
Innovative Research (SBIR) reduction
and Congressional general reduction.
(Estimating)

14. Program Acquisition Unit Cost (PAUC) History (Then-Year Dollars in Millions):

Not required for Pre-Milestone II programs in accordance with 10 USC 2433, Title 10, USC.

15. Contract Information:

The NAS program has no large active contracts.

- 16. Program Funding Summary (Current Estimate in Millions of Dollars):
 - a. Program Status --
 - (1) Percent Program Completed: 38.5% (5 yrs/13 yrs)
 - (2) Percent Program Cost Appropriated: 38.5% (\$46.2 / \$119.9)
 - b. Appropriation Summary (Then-Year Dollars in Millions)

Appropriation	Prior <u>Years</u> (FY90-93)	Budget <u>Year</u> (FY94)	Budget <u>Year</u> (FY95)	Balance To Complete (FY96-2002)	<u>Total</u>
RDT&E	31.8	14.4	30.9	42.8	119.9
Procurement	-	-	•	-	
MILCON	-	-	•	•	•
O&M	-	-	-	-	-
Total	31.8	14.4	30.9	42.8	119.9

16c. Program Funding Summary (Cont'd):

c. Annual Summary --

		Flyawa	!			al Then-Ye		- 	
Fisc	al	FY90 Do	llars	Total				Escl	
Ye	ar Qty	1		Base		Obli-	Ex-	Rate	
Ì		Nonrec	Rec	Year\$	Program	gated	pended	(%)	
1		[i						

Appropriation: 2040 Research, Development, Test + Eval, Army

						i		
1990	į	į		2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	•
							3.0	
			 				3.0	

Appropriation: 1319 Research, Development, Test + Eval, Navy

1990	į			3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0, 4.3
Subtot	!	į		3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0
Navy	1]	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0

Appropriation: 3600 Research, Development, Test + Eval, AF

1990				3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
1991				9.3	9.9	9.9	9.7	4.3
1992				3.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.8
1993				6.0	6.7	6.7	5.9	2.7
1994				12.5	14.4	13.1	7.1	2.5
1995				26.0	30.9		 	2.8
1996				15.2	18.6			2.9

16c. Program Funding Summary (Cont'd):

	••••		Flya			!	al Then-Ye			ĺ
j	Fiscal			ollars					Escl	ĺ
Ì	Year	Qty			Base		Obli-	Ex-	Rate	ĺ
ĺ			Nonrec	Rec	Year\$	Program	gated	pended	(%)	١
										ı

Appropriation: 3600 Research, Development, Test + Eval, AF (Cont'd)

1997	 			10.9	13.7		 	3.0
1998		<u> </u>		0.2	0.2			3.0
1999				0.1	0.1		.	3.0
2000				2.4	3.3			3.0
2001				2.4	3.4			3.0
2002				2.4	3.5			3.0
Subtot			ĺ	95.1	112.9	37.9	30.7	
USAF			ĺ	95.1	112.9	37.9	30.7	
Grand Total				101.9	119.9	44.9	37.7	

Expenditures and Obligations reflect program office records as of September 30, 1994.

17. Production Rate Data:

- a. Not app'icable for Pre-Milestone II programs.
- b. Not applicable for Pre-Milestone II programs.
- c. Deliveries (Plan/Actual) -- None.

Not applicable for pre-Milestone II programs.

d. Not applicable for Pre-Milestone II programs.

••• OMCLASSIFIED •••

NAS, September 30, 1994

18. Operating and Support Custs:

Not applicable for Pre-Milestone II programs.